AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Petertise re: 1:9:001/50 Petertise res: 1:001/50 Petertise

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V	
	Joseph Jerry Sweet	Case No. 1:13 MJ 230
	Defendant	
	ter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Areferdant be detained pending trial.	Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Finding	gs of Fact
	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18	
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a which the prison term is 10 years or more.	a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death	h or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten y	years or more is prescribed in: .*
	a felony committed after the defendant had been cor U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or lo	nvicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 ocal offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves a minor victim	
	the possession or use of a firearm or de a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 22	estructive device or any other dangerous weapon 250
	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while to local offense.	the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since thed offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for th
	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption person or the community. I further find that defendant has	n that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternative Fin	·
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has	
( /	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or mo	
	Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	* *
	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the	ed by finding (1) that no condition or combination of condition e safety of the community.
	Alternative Fin	•
<b>√</b> (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	3- (=)
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the	e safety of another person or the community.
	Part II – Statement of the R	Reasons for Detention
	ind that the testimony and information submitted at the dete a preponderance of the evidence that:	ention hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing
Defenda	nt waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest det	tention pending trial.

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	August 1, 2013	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge